



ATTENDEES

- Cheryl Benjamin
- Bill Burgess
- Ivan Weichert
- Kenny Miller (Co-Chair)
- Joe Sewash
- Ian Von Essen (Chair)
- Dan Widner
- Bob White bob.white@maine.gov

AGENDA

- 1) Review, Edit & Approve Final Draft of NG911 Working Group Charter
- 2) Prioritize Work Group Objectives
- 3) Define tasks associated with highest priority objective
- 4) Continue discussion for the need for a fact sheet, webinar, etc. for the membership to get them involved in this issue
- 5) Other Items

DISCUSSION

1) Review, Edit & Approve Final Draft of NG911 Working Group Charter

- Any comments on the version that was mailed out?
- NSGIC Board has approved it unless there are radical changes
- It is pretty flexible
- On Objective 2 – don't just survey – find out how well state coordinators are working with their 911 Boards/Coordination efforts
 - Do you work with the 911 Coordinator | Do you have a 911 plan | etc.
- Is the charter broad enough to include this?
- Yes - the charter should give us the flexibility to do this
- Bob – ME – dealing with 911 since 1990 – NENA is the national organization for 911 and probably can provide any information that we need
- We have been working with NENA since the NSGIC Midyear Meeting
- Part of the reason for surveying the NSGIC Coordinators is to encourage them to engage with the 911 community
- How accurate are the national surveys that are out there?
- Generally, the NENA surveys are accurate and created several times per year
- Bert Granberg created a resource for address points that we will pass along
- We will provide the links to the national surveys that have been generated
- Charter was accepted by vote of the working group



Next Generation 911 Work Group Meeting Notes for May 12, 2011

2) Prioritize Work Group Objectives (Objectives in Blue text)

- Reach out to other national organizations to help develop cohesive national policies for NG 911 implementation.
 - We may be late on this
 - Kansas has already written an implementation plan and started a pilot project
 - We are just here to augment these efforts
 - NY is working with NENA about address points
 - ME is part of the NENA work group and they are trying to work on the GIS data model to provision the data into the NG911 servers
 - ME is using Broadband and 911 funding to develop address points
 - Are these states using the URISA/FGDC standard
 - Yes but as modified by NENA for directional
 - NY has sent their information to ESRI to develop a framework for implementation
 - How are states dealing with variations in road files from different sources
 - ME is coordinating at statewide level (Single POC) to collect and validate information
 - Trying to identify a new data model for transportation
 - 911 and DOT have been working together to coordinate the edits
 - VA has a statewide PSAP program giving out grants – one was to fund Northern VA counties to develop a consistent data model for a regional routable road centerline file (CAD and GIS)
 - We may be late to this game, but NG911 will probably take a decade and reaching out to the other organizations is still a good thing
 - Should we develop a list? APCO is one that has been flagged
 - Should also contact DOT and USPS in Memphis for County Program
 - We need to create a more formal list of organizations
 - Look at NENA's requirements, FGDC and then software vendor to come up with something that works
 - We also need to consider the wireless and Teleco companies
 - We also need to work with the software/hardware vendors about capabilities
 - NAPSG suggested that we need to view NG911 not just in terms of the PSAP operations, but the continuum from the first responders in the field to the PSAPs so that the whole enterprise is interoperable
 - Surveying the membership will let us understand how varied the implementations are across the country
 - ME is working with the local addressing officers and has established a workshop for them – they have the full range of expertise with GIS from none to sophisticated
 - Ian will contact Bert Granberg to see about emulating his address point site
 - We need to identify the 'players' and find out what they are doing



Next Generation 911 Work Group Meeting Notes for May 12, 2011

- Through surveys or mining of existing information, determine the status of 911 operations and plans for NG 911 implementation in each state to support and inform our membership and help them determine which states are similarly situated.
- Define how the NSGIC State Coordinators can assist the 911 Boards and PSAPs to effectively implement open and interoperable NG 911 systems to promote data sharing.
- Embed NSGIC recommendations in future NG911 law and regulation.
- Help the NSGIC State Coordinators, 911 Boards, PSAP Directors and other partners in transitioning from current geospatial data development practices to better coordinated and interoperable geospatial data development programs following the principles of the *For the Nation* initiatives.
 - Need to identify the players and then determine strengths to decide how to reach out
 - Need to focus on objectives 1 and 2 right now and Ian will set-up a resource center
 - Data is front-loaded in the whole 911 process starting with addresses
 - The core difference in NG911 from today is that it will be based on IP addresses and it is all spatial data (GIS is what makes it work)
 - Burgess will put together a list of the organizations and E-Mail out to the group for additions/deletions
 - Bob will provide information to the group on the issues they are working on with the local address coordinators
 - We should come up with success factors for NG911 similar to the 9 NSGIC Coordination criteria
 - That list would help the state coordinators determine where they stand
 - Bob is willing to put together the top 10 list of items that will help you know when you will be successful in deploying NG911

3) Define tasks associated with highest priority objective

- See above discussion
 - 1) Charter was accepted by vote of the working group (**Completed**)
- Action Items
 - 2) Need to focus on objectives 1 and 2 right now and Ian will set-up a resource center (Ian will contact Bert Granberg to see about emulating his address point site)
 - 3) Burgess will put together a list of the organizations and E-Mail out to the group for additions/deletions
 - 4) Bob will provide information to the group on the issues they are working on with the local address coordinators
 - 5) Bob is willing to put together the top 10 list of items that will help you know when you will be successful in deploying NG911

4) Continue discussion for the need for a fact sheet, webinar, etc. for the membership to get them involved in this issue

- See above



Next Generation 911 Work Group Meeting Notes for May 12, 2011

5) Other Items

- Do we want to continue these meetings at the same date and time?
 - YES