

In attendance:

Andy Rowan
Bill Burgess
Joe Sewash
Cheryl Benjamin
Patrick Melancon
Ivan Weichert

AGENDA & DISCUSSION

Review & Approve Minutes form Last Meeting

Previous meeting notes approved
Motion: Burgess
Second: Benjamin

Recap of NG911WG Related Sessions at Midyear

National Emergency Number Association, Kathy Liljequist, NENA
Presentation: NG9-1-1 and GIS
NENA Master Glossary of 9-1-1 Terminology

Kathy Liljequist presentation about NG was good explanation of what it is and what it will require from GIS. People who didn't see it can review her slide deck on the web.

I3 is the actual standard for NextGen, and any vendor implementations that claim to be NextGen should be compared to it. Standard is pretty new. First version is from fall 2012.

She also defined a lot of terms, which come from the NENA glossary. That document is included with her powerpoint, but the glossary is updated often, we should link directly to the NENA one on NSGIC site rather than posting a copy. Add link to NG workgroup page. (Joe will work on that.)

NSGIC hallmark is interstate communication: what will we be doing about state boundary issues related to NG? GIS people should be involved in establishing the boundary data, and dealing with errors that kick out in the LVF/ECRF process. NENA has a group working on how that will look.

Inter-local agreements and mutual aid that cross state lines. How to track those and include them? Point overlay over response zones will be how system should work.

FirstNet Presentation and Discussion:

Oregon SLIGP, Ed Arabas (OR)
FirstNet and Maryland, Greg Urban, Maryland Deputy CIO

FirstNet: does it really directly relate to NG? It will when network starts being designed and built.

Status of NG911 Questionnaire for the GIS Inventory

Bill sent out questionnaire which is a combination of pieces from NY and Michigan surveys. Now ready for the committee to refine it. Some were too locale-specific for a nationwide effort.

Kansas had already sent out a similar questionnaire. Problem was finding someone in the PSAP who was able to answer with enough detail. Probably need to include instructions to pass it around to get enough input from others in order to get it answered. People weren't excited to answer, until it became tied to their ability to receive grant dollars.

NY knew they would have that issue. They had a lot of regional meetings about it. Problem with survey monkey and not being able to restart where they left off, changed to an e-mail doc. Cheryl suggests strongly that there at least be a webinar to give orientation. NY also had technology problems: Survey Monkey cookies were being deleted by system policy in between sessions, and people who were partly through had to start over. Changed it a different medium.

TN also did a lot of direct outreach, to get the answers. Met with each of 100 911 districts. They meet with them like this quarterly.

5.) New Items

Census Bureau is having a follow-up addressing conference April 17-19 in Leesburg, VA, in reference to the pilot projects. They did get approval to proceed, despite sequester.