

ATTENDEES

Andy Rowan (Co-chair)
Bill Burgess
Bob White
Charlie Hickman
Dan Miller
Glenn Condon
Ian Von Essen (Co-chair)
Ivan Weichert
John Hoshal
Laura Blastic

AGENDA

- 1.) **Review & Approve Minutes form Last Meeting** (Notes are Attached)
- 2.) **Discuss NG911WG Session for Annual NSGIC Conference**
- 3.) **Survey Monkey version of NSGIC NG911 Questionnaire**

<http://www.surveymonkey.com/s/9YY6S8H>

NOTE: This survey is a work in progress as Bill Burgess will be making edits to it prior to the meeting.

- A. **Review & Edit**
- B. **Discuss Possible Administrators of Questionnaire**
 - a. **Federal Agency**
 - b. **NENA**
 - c. **NSGIC**
 - d. **Other**
- 4.) **Upcoming Conferences:** NENA 2013 & ESRI International Users 2013
- 5.) **New Items**

DISCUSSION

1. Review & Approve Minutes form Last Meeting

- Corrections or additions
None
- Approved?
Yes. (Weichert, Burgess 2nd)

2. Discuss NG911WG Session for Annual NSGIC Conference

Topics: data issues, network requirements, impact on GIS shop, data maintenance?

Maine awarded a contract for a statewide conversion to NG911. A few weeks into the process. Just got back data analysis of their existing GIS data, working through results. Address data 97% routable. In September they will load state GIS data into NG system and going live with maintenance on that data. Lessons learned as topic for conference? Already have state-level maintenance of address data with agreements in place with local address authorities. Working through some workflow issues with updates.

Washington (state) also did similar data analysis on county data, got widely varying results from one to another.

Kansas is preparing to do an RFP for a similar job. Interest in learning what Maine has found so far.

3. Survey Monkey version of NSGIC NG911 Questionnaire

Draft is at <http://www.surveymonkey.com/s/9YY6S8H>

Administrators – who best to “own” the survey? How would the follow-up work at a national level?

a. **Federal Agency**

DOT, FCC?

Tie to some kind of funding to locals?

Local/federal relationship (lack thereof) could be obstacle

b. **NENA**

NENA has much closer ties than NSGIC to the target audience. And many local 911 folks will pay more attention to NENA than they would to their state government.

We could ask Cheryl B or someone else with NENA familiarity to float the idea there.

c. **NSGIC**

Resource constraints, also politics: how will local 911 folks react to a contact from a national org they don't know about? Probably not the best way to do it.

d. Other

States could do it individually. Could do this by duplicating the survey in Survey Monkey and letting each state have their own copy. Or state could just take the content and handle it within their own system.

In New England, counties aren't really a factor, things are either state or local. Points up need to customize the survey for each state. (If using Bill's survey monkey account this would require him to do the changes, so passing it off to an account owned by the state would be preferable.)

If done by states, how would be aggregate to create consistent results at national level? Perhaps we could identify baseline info we would want at national level and asks to stay consistent on that. Or ask the state agency to transform into the national template.

Bill could set properties/preferences on the survey for repeat visits to survey, etc. Not sure at this point what we will want. Skip logic should be added at the very end to keep it from blowing things up when other changes are made.

At this stage, let's be careful that everyone knows this is a draft and that we plan to socialize the idea with NENA and others before we do it. We are not going out to locals yet.

4. Upcoming Conferences:

NENA 2013

Anyone attending? Ivan Weichert, Gene Trobia.

ESRI Intl Users Conference 2013

Attending: Ian Von Essen, Ivan Weichert,

5. New items

None.