

ATTENDEES

- Ed Arabas
- Laura Blastic
- Bill Burgess
- Brian Crumpler
- Bert Granberg
- Kenny Miller
- Joy Paulus
- Andy Rowan (Co-Chair)
- Joe Sewash
- Ian Von Essen (Co-Chair)
- Nathan Watermeier

AGENDA

- 1.) Review & Approve Minutes form Last Meeting (See Attached)
- 2.) Proposed Elevation of NSGIC NG911 Work Group to NSGIC Committee
 - a. Discussion of Alignment of NG911 Committee with Addresses and TFTN (Transportation for the Nation)
- 3.) NG911WG Session(s)/Panel for Mid-Year NSGIC Conference
- 4.) Proposed Joint Address/NG911WG Meeting at Mid-year
- 5.) NENA Standards for the Provisioning and Maintenance of GIS data to ECRF/LVF
 - a. Review of draft standard
 - b. Addressing/NG911WG Google Doc ([NSGIC Discussion on NENA-STA-005](#))
 - c. Comments are accepted through January 16, 2014.
 - d. Individual Comments Encouraged
- 6.) Status Update of NG911WG Top Ten List
 - a. http://www.nsgic.org/public_resources/NG_911_Top_Ten_State_Coordinator_081811_Final.pdf
- 7.) New Items

DISCUSSION

1.) Review & Approve Minutes form Last Meeting

- No edits or changes
- Motions made and minutes approved

2.) Proposed Elevation of NSGIC NG911 Work Group to NSGIC Committee

- The proposed Committee Restructuring Plan was described and the new Committee list was presented
- Discussion about the alignment of NG911 Committee with Addresses and TFTN

- We could review charters and membership to see if there is a reason to consolidate the various groups
- The topics are a little different and the groups should probably be separate
- Instead of just focusing on NG, we could incorporate FirstNet, State Local Implementation Grant Program and other activities in NG 911
- Need to look at how the data is being created to see how we should organize
- Address data is created locally and rolled-up at the state level
- The common element between these groups is the state relationship with local entities – we spend more time on addresses and transportation with locals
- Our interest is making sure that everyone can create one dataset and use it
- Maybe the groups could be combined into a State and Local Partnerships Committee with NG, Addresses and Transportation
- NG has several things that need to be dealt with soon – specific tasks
- There are other emergency zone issues at the local level that are relics
- Most boundaries are dynamic these days, for a number of reasons
- NSGIC needs to look more at how we partner with local governments
- If we want to think strategically, and consider the retreating role of the USGS Liaisons, we should put our energy at state to state, or state to local coordination efforts
- Having a State to Local Partnerships Committee might also be a draw for Local Members

3.) NG911WG Session(s)/Panel for Mid-Year NSGIC Conference

- Have a conversation with all of the states about the state to local partnership angle
- It would get a different level of participation in a plenary session – including potential sponsors
- Like the idea of talking about state and local partnerships in both the Plenary and in Caucus where we can be more direct about the issues.
- It would be useful to talk about how NG 911 works from the perspective to those involved – PSAP, Phone Company, etc.
- This is a good idea because it's hard to conceptualize how it all works – it will help us plan where we need to be involved
- Vermont and Maine are further along
- The static diagrams currently available are really bad and don't help our understanding of the issues
- Maybe have the Maryland 911 Board, PSAP, Phone Company, etc. come in to talk at the Meeting to represent the different steps in the process
- Would we be showing the future state, or the existing state and how it will look in the future?
- The transition won't be complete for 5 to 10 years
- The long-term concept still appears to be a little unclear – how we transition to NG
- Do we want to focus mostly on map data – where it is today and where it will be?

- We need to focus on educating our members – there are different ways to get to the end point
- Most states won't have the resources to do it all at once
- Whether we are role playing or just getting presentations, the different perspectives are important – you need to see this 'first hand' to understand it
- The local PSAP Directors will probably only talk about how it works now
- Maybe divide it up into here is how it works now, and how it will work in the future
- Intrigued about getting the members involved by doing the role playing – it might take 10 people and they shouldn't even have to memorize their lines
- Ed is willing to take the lead and will work with Bert to discuss the feasibility
- We need to move on this quickly and get an abstract to the Conference Committee

4.) Proposed Joint Address/NG911WG Meeting at Mid-year

- Ian won't be at the Midyear Meeting
- Healthy to have meeting at the Conference
- Andy talked to Gene and Will about doing a joint meeting – no response yet
- Andy will pursue this again

5.) NENA Standards for the Provisioning and Maintenance of GIS data to ECRF/LVF

- Addressing/NG911WG Google Doc (NSGIC Discussion on NENA-STA-005)
 - Ed has been drafting comments into the document
 - He is on Section 4.7 and has work left to be done
 - Every comment has to be considered by the NENA Committee
 - Some of the comments might have implications about how NSGIC wants to communicate with NENA
 - Originally, we were going to submit separate comments
 - We still need to do this for the best impact
 - We need to circulate a note to committee members and state reps asking them to look at the Google Doc and to make their own comments, but to try and align on the same points that Ed has listed where they agree with the comments
 - The data standards could become a problem with state to local coordination – things like WGS 84
 - The statements about accuracy can be a little scary
- Comments are accepted through January 16, 2014.
 - We need to send a message out to people to look at the Google Doc and to make comments
 - The deadline is the 16th at 5:00 pm EST
 - Andy, Joe, Bert, Ian may coordinate with the State – all plan to submit comments

6.) Status Update of NG911WG Top Ten List



National States Geographic Information Council

Next Generation 911 Work Group Meeting Notes January 9, 2014

- Burgess will put it up as a Google Doc and send out the link to get people started on the editing process

7.) New Items

- Brian - How many of the states have dedicated staff to go to PSAPs?
- A few were listed, but Dan Widner could send a request for information out to the State Reps listserv to get that information as opposed to running a formal survey