

## ATTENDEES

- Adam Iten
- Andrew Griswold
- Andy Rowan (Co-Chair)
- Bert Granberg
- Cheryl Benjamin
- Dan Ross
- Dennis Pedersen
- Glenn Condon
- Ian Von Essen (Co-Chair)
- Jeff Smith
- Joe Sewash
- John Hoshal
- Kenny Miller
- Nathan Watermeier
- Russ Provost
- Sandi Stroud

## AGENDA

1. Review and approve minutes from last meeting (attached)
2. Debrief NG 9-1-1 session & meeting at Midyear Meeting
3. Upcoming National Address Summit – USDOT/Census
4. FCC/NENA National Emergency Address Database announcement
5. NENA webinar: “300 meters to 50” (wireless location accuracy)
6. Other items

## DISCUSSION

1. Review and approve minutes from last meeting (attached)
  - Approved.
2. Debrief NG 9-1-1 session & meeting at Midyear Meeting

Session:

- Dorothy Spears-Dean was going to talk about NASNA but had to cancel due to a back injury. Kathy Liljequist expanded her presentation and was able to completely fill the gap in the schedule. Kathy's presentation about NENA standards was very well received.
- SIF is one part of the concept that still needs to be nailed down. Different people mean different things by the term (a technology, an organization's role). Perhaps we need to get feedback to NENA that this is something that needs to be more clearly defined, and then once that's done, get that info out to both communities. (Stroud)
- NC went through an RFI last summer, and did find that there was a lot of variation among the vendors in how they describe/understand things (Sewash)
- There has been discussion of this at NENA committee meetings too. Same reaction – that it's poorly defined. Cheryl will see if there are notes that can be circulated. Some of the confusion may simply be because of the word "function" in the name. (Benjamin)

Feedback on the joint meeting:

- Meeting was so large that we went in a lot of different directions and it was hard to keep on a topic.
  - Maybe we can still have a joint meeting but create more structure. Maybe have time periods where each committee's topic is the main focus. Kind of like lightning sessions for each committee.
3. Upcoming National Address Summit – USDOT/Census
    - April 8-9 in Maryland, many federal and state/local stakeholders, funded by USDOT but Census is involved.
    - Steve Lewis's presentation at midyear is on the NSGIC website now, it includes lists of who will participate.
    - Even though DOT is involved, it's not really about road centerlines, more about address points.
    - NSGIC committees should come up with questions/items they want discussed.
    - Different users have different needs. Campsites, residential vs. non, etc.
    - There will be a facilitator. We think they've done the selection and procurement now but no official word.
    - How does NEAD fit in?
  4. FCC/NENA National Emergency Address Database announcement

- Steve Lewis is going to put this on the agenda for the summit, to clarify how the NEAD and NAD differ
  - Privacy and proprietary concerns may mean that NEAD is not shareable, this would be an issue for the wider effort on addresses.
  - Need to have them clarify what the access/use restrictions will be. We need to be able to use their information to do maintenance on the basic address data.
  - Don't want another LUCA where we can look but we can't use the information.
  - The dispatchable address in NEAD must be kept in sync with the addresses in NAD, or we will have a nightmare on our hands.
  - NEAD webinar on 911.gov mentions x,y,z and barometric reading from devices that have that sensor (new phones).
  - <http://911.gov/webinars.html> and then select the February 201 one. (Original e-mail had a typo in the link.)
  - But we think that the database may just be a tabular list that correlates access points and other wireless aggregation devices to a descriptive "dispatchable address" but not an xyz. The xyz mention in the webinar seems to be connected to the next level of positional accuracy for wireless callers.
  - You can sign up for e-mail updates on the FCC webinars. Russ will send the details.
  - FCC activity would be a good topic for the annual conference.
5. NENA webinar: "300 meters to 50" (wireless location accuracy)
- This webinar is from NENA. The one Joe Sewash cited in email is from FCC. Related topics, but different angles.
  - ***From 300 Meters to 50: Dispatchable Location & the FCC's NEW Wireless Location Accuracy Rules***  
FREE! [Click here to watch now!](#) [Download slide deck](#)
    - The new requirements for carrier location performance.
    - How technology and network performance will be tested and monitored.
    - The impact of "Dispatchable Location" technology on front-line call taking and dispatch.
    - How standardized confidence values will improve consistency of location data.
    - How your PSAP will be able to monitor carrier performance at the local level.
6. Other items
- NENA standards reviews. Data management requirements doc is out for public review now. Review period closes April 3. Related to things like discrepancy reports, time frames, etc.
    - [Section 3:](#)
    - 3.2 LIS validating records against the Location Validation Function (LVF)

- 3.3 SIF Operator to 9-1-1 Authority on provisioning Geographic Information Systems (GIS) data
- 3.4 ECRF/LVF Operator to SIF Operator on GIS data provisioning
- 3.4 ECRF/LVF Operator to Discrepancy Report (DR) Subscribers on GIS gaps and overlaps
- 3.7 PSAP/9-1-1 Authority to the GIS Provider when issues found in the map display
- [Section 4:](#)
- 4.6 GIS Data Provisioning Performance Statistics Report
- Exchange standard (CLDXF) is published
- GIS data model standard has had all-committee review. That work group has had additional people assigned to get all the comments and changes processed.
- One part of that standard that has been getting a lot of comments is the part about authoritative boundaries. Not clear how it will be used.
- Standards for provision and maintenance of data for ECRF/LVF: public review last year that had a lot of comments (174). May be coming out for a second public review.
- Site structure address points – not a standard, so it won't have public review. Internal NENA review by authoring committee happening now. Work group would quickly reconvene to deal with those, then it goes for all-committee review, then published.
- Question: do we know what Maine's data model looks like relative to these NENA documents? (Probable answer is a snapshot of what the standards looked like when they needed to start work.)
- Workshops coming up (led by Sandi): Emergency preparedness for GIS professionals, and 9-1-1 for GIS professionals. These are URISA workshops, March 25, being offered in Tennessee.
- Also next week at the Towson conference, Sandi and Russ are doing a workshop as well.
- Lots of RFIs, RFPs, etc. going out about Next Gen. Do we want to compile those? We could canvass the members for those. (Miller)